

Local torsion primes and the class numbers associated to an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$

Toshiro Hiranouchi

March 28, 2017

Using the rank of the Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$, we give a lower bound of the class number of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$ generated by p^n -division points of E when the curve E does not possess a p -adic point of order p : $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$.

1 Introduction

For an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ with complex multiplication (abbreviated as CM in the following) such that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E) = \mathcal{O}_F$, the ring of integers of an imaginary quadratic field F . When E has good ordinary reduction at $p > 2$, the prime p splits completely in F as $p = \pi\bar{\pi}$ where $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $\bar{\pi}$ is the complex conjugation of π . Let $F_n := F(E[\pi^n])$ be the field generated by π^n -torsion points over F . The extension $F_{\infty} := \bigcup_n F_n$ of F_1 is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension so that the p -part of the class number of F_n is written as

$$\# \text{Cl}_p(F_n) = p^{\lambda n + \mu p^n + \nu}, \quad \text{for } n \gg 0,$$

where $\text{Cl}_p(F_n)$ is the p -Sylow subgroup of the class group of F_n . The invariant λ of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension has a lower bound

$$\lambda \geq r - 1,$$

where r is the $(\mathbb{Z})$ -rank of the group of $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational points $E(\mathbb{Q})$ ([5], Sect. 5).

For an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ which may not have CM, in recent papers [9] and [8], Sairaiji and Yamauchi gave a lower bound of the class number $\# \text{Cl}_p(K_n)$ associated to the field $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$ generated by p^n -torsion points $E[p^n] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[p^n]$ under the following conditions:

- (Red) E has multiplicative reduction at p ,
- (Disc) $p \nmid \text{ord}_p(\Delta)$, where Δ is the minimal discriminant of E , and
- (Full) $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

The objective of this note is to propose a condition

(**Tor**) $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$

instead of using (**Red**) and (**Disc**) above, and give the same form of a lower bound of $\# \text{Cl}(K_n)$ as in [8]. The main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with minimal discriminant Δ and p a prime number > 2 . Put $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$ and assume (**Tor**) and (**Full**) above. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the exponent κ_n of $\# \text{Cl}_p(K_n) = p^{\kappa_n}$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\kappa_n \geq 2n(r-1) - 2 \sum_{l \neq p, l|\Delta} \nu_l,$$

where

$$\nu_l = \begin{cases} \min \{ \text{ord}_p(\text{ord}_l(\Delta)), n \}, & \text{if } E : \text{split multiplicative reduction at } l, \\ 0, & \text{if } E : \text{non-split multiplicative or potentially good reduction at } l, \text{ and} \\ n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, ord_p (resp. ord_l) is the p -adic (resp. l -adic) valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$.

A proof of Thm. 1.1 is given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we give some sufficient conditions for (**Tor**). In fact, we have

$$(\mathbf{Red}) \ \& \ (\mathbf{Disc}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Tor})$$

(Lem. 3.1).

Remark 1.2. (i) The condition (**Full**) means that the Galois representation $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[p]) \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is full (i.e., surjective). This can be checked by some criterions in [4] for $p = 3$ and [10] Sect. 2.8 for $p \geq 5$ (see also [8], Sect. 1).

(ii) Recall that, in [2], for an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$, a prime number p which does not satisfy (**Tor**), that is, $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \neq 0$ is called a **local torsion prime** for E . It is expected that when E does not have CM, there are only finitely many local torsion primes ([2], Conj. 1.1).

Example 1.3. Let us consider the elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by

$$y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$$

(the Cremona label 389a1) which has the smallest conductor among those of $r = 2$. This E does not have CM and $\Delta = 389$ (E has multiplicative reduction at 389). By using SAGE [3], one can confirm that the condition (**Full**) holds for all primes p and

(Tor) holds for any odd prime $< 10^6$. Thus, our main theorem says that, for all odd primes $p < 10^6$ (which may be $p = 389$), we have

$$\# \text{Cl}_p(K_n) \geq p^{2n(r-1)}.$$

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor F. Sairaiji and Professor T. Yamauchi who taught the author their results in [9] and [8]. Not only they generously sent the author their preprint [8], but also gave suggestions and comments which improved the main theorem in this note. The global arguments in the latter part of Lem. 2.1 are due to them.

2 Elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$

The aim of this section is to prove Thm. 1.1. We use the following notation:

- p : a prime number > 2 ,
- E : an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$,
- $r :=$ the rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$,
- $P_1, \dots, P_r \in E(\mathbb{Q})$: generators of the free part of $E(\mathbb{Q})$,
- Δ : the minimal discriminant of E ([11], Chap. VIII, Sect. 8),
- $E[p^n] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[p^n]$: the p^n -torsion subgroup of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$,
- $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$, and
- $L_n := K_n(\frac{1}{p^n}P_1, \dots, \frac{1}{p^n}P_r)$.

Following [6], Chap. V, Sect. 5, define

$$\Phi^{(i)} : \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \rightarrow E[p^n]; \sigma \mapsto \sigma(Q_i) - Q_i, \quad (1)$$

where $Q_i \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $p^n Q_i = P_i$. Since $E[p^n] \subset E(K_n)$, the map $\Phi^{(i)}$ does not depend on the choice of Q_i . These homomorphisms $(\Phi^{(i)})_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ induce an injective homomorphism

$$\Phi : \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \rightarrow E[p^n]^{\oplus r}; \sigma \mapsto (\Phi^{(i)}(\sigma))_i. \quad (2)$$

From $E[p^n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ ([11], Chap. III, Cor. 6.4) the extension L_n/K_n is an abelian extension with $[L_n : K_n] \leq p^{2nr}$.

Inertia subgroups

For any prime number l and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{l}$ in (the ring of integers of) K_n above l (we write $\mathfrak{l} \mid l$ in the following), we denote by

- I_l : the inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ at l (for L_n/K_n is abelian, the inertia subgroup I_l is independent of a choice of a prime ideal in L_n above l), and
- $I_l := \langle I_l; \text{prime ideal } l \mid l \text{ in } K_n \rangle \subset \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$: the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ generated by I_l for all $l \mid l$.

When $l \neq p$ and $l \mid \Delta$, using ν_l defined in Thm. 1.1, it is known ([8], Sect. 4) that

$$\#I_l \leq p^{2\nu_l}. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.1. *We assume the condition (Tor). Then, we have $\#I_p \leq p^{2n}$.*

Proof. We denote also by $E = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ the base change of the elliptic curve E to $\mathbb{Q}_p$. By the structure theory for compact p -adic Lie groups ([7], Thm. 7, see also [1], Thm. 21),

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tor}}$$

(as topological groups), where $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tor}}$ is the torsion subgroup of $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which is finite. From the condition (Tor), we have $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tor}}/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tor}} = 0$ and hence

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $[P] \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (the residue class represented by a point $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) be a generator of the cyclic group $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and write

$$[P_i] = a_i[P] \quad \text{in } E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Take j such that $\text{ord}_p(a_j) \leq \text{ord}_p(a_i)$ for all i .

For any prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of K_n , and a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of L_n above $\mathfrak{p}$, we denote by

- $(K_n)_{\mathfrak{p}}$: the completion of K_n at $\mathfrak{p}$, and
- $(L_n)_{\mathfrak{P}}$: the completion of L_n at $\mathfrak{P}$.

Using the chosen index j , we obtain

$$(L_n)_{\mathfrak{P}} = (K_n)_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{1}{p^n} P_j \right).$$

From this equality, the extension $L_n/K_n(\frac{1}{p^n} P_j)$ is unramified over $\mathfrak{p}$. As the extension $K_n/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, this extension $L_n/K_n(\frac{1}{p^n} P_j)$ is unramified over p . Since $I_p \cap \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n(\frac{1}{p^n} P_j)) = \{1\}$, the restriction $\Phi^{(j)}|_{I_p} : I_p \rightarrow E[p^n]$ of $\Phi^{(j)}$ defined in (1) is injective and hence $\#I_p \leq p^{2n}$. $\square$

Proof of the main theorem

Now, we show Thm. 1.1. We define

- $\widetilde{K}_n$: the Hilbert p -class field, that is, the maximal unramified abelian p -extension of K_n , and

- $I := \langle I_l; l = p \text{ or } l \mid \Delta \rangle \subset \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$: the subgroup generated by I_p and I_l for all prime number $l \mid \Delta$.

By class field theory, we have

$$\# \text{Cl}_p(K_n) = [\widetilde{K}_n : K_n] \geq [L_n \cap \widetilde{K}_n : K_n] = \frac{[L_n : K_n]}{[L_n : L_n \cap \widetilde{K}_n]}. \quad (4)$$

From the condition **(Full)** and $p > 2$, $\Phi : \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n) \rightarrow E[p^n]^{\oplus r}$ defined in (2) is bijective¹ ([9], Thm. 2.4) and hence

$$[L_n : K_n] = p^{2nr}. \quad (5)$$

Since the extension L_n/K_n is unramified outside $\{p, \infty\} \cup \{l \mid \Delta\}$ ([11], Chap. VIII, Prop. 1.5 (b)), we have

$$[L_n : L_n \cap \widetilde{K}_n] = [L_n : L_n^I] = \#I. \quad (6)$$

Using the upper bound of $\#I_l$ given in (3) for $l \neq p$ and Lem. 2.1 for $l = p$ under the condition **(Tor)**,

$$\#I \leq \#I_p \cdot \prod_{l \neq p, l \mid \Delta} \#I_l \leq p^{2n} \cdot p^{2 \sum_{l \neq p, l \mid \Delta} \nu_l}. \quad (7)$$

Thm. 1.1 follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{Cl}_p(K_n) &\geq \frac{[L_n : K_n]}{[L_n : L_n \cap \widetilde{K}_n]} \quad (\text{by (4)}) \\ &= \frac{p^{2nr}}{\#I} \quad (\text{by (5) and (6)}) \\ &\geq p^{2n(r-1)-2 \sum_{l \neq p, l \mid \Delta} \nu_l} \quad (\text{by (7)}). \end{aligned}$$

3 Local torsion primes

We keep the notation of the last section:

- p : a prime number > 2 ,
- E : an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$,
- Δ : the minimal discriminant of E , and
- $\pi : E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$: the reduction map modulo p ([11], Chap. VII, Sect. 2).

Multiplicative reduction

¹ Here, we need the assumption $p > 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that E has multiplicative reduction at p . We further assume one of the following conditions:*

(**Disc**) $p \nmid \text{ord}_p(\Delta)$,

(a) E has non-split multiplicative reduction at p , or

(b) $p > 11$.

*Then, the condition (**Tor**): $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$ holds.*

Proof. Consider the base change of E to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and regard E as an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the set of non-singular points in $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ([11], Chap. III, Prop. 2.5). The reduction map $\pi : E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ modulo p induces a short exact sequence (of abelian groups)

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \pi^{-1}(\overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ and $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is defined by the exactness ([11], Chap. VII, Prop. 2.1). As E has multiplicative reduction at p , it is known that

$$\overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset \overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \simeq (\mathbb{F}_{p^2})^\times$$

([11], Chap. III, Exercise 3.5). In particular, $\overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has no non-trivial p -torsion: $\overline{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] = 0$. On the other hand, $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $\widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the group associated to the formal group $\widehat{E}$ of E ([11], Chap. VII, Prop. 2.2). From [11], Chap. IV, Ex. 6.1.1, $\widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)[p] = 0$ and hence $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$.

Case (a): First, we suppose that E has non-split multiplicative reduction. In this case, the quotient group $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a finite group of order at most 2 ([12], Chap. IV, Rem. 9.6) so that we obtain $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] = 0$.

Case (Disc): Next, we assume that $p \nmid \text{ord}_p(\Delta)$. From Case (a) above, we may assume that E has split multiplicative reduction at p . The assertion follows from $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\text{ord}_p(\Delta)\mathbb{Z}$ ([11], Chap. VII, Thm. 6.1).

Case (b): Since the discriminant Δ is minimal, we have $\text{ord}_p(\Delta) < 12$ ([11], Chap. VII, Rem. 1.1 and Chap. VIII, Sect. 8). When $p > 11$, we have $p \nmid \text{ord}_p(\Delta)$ and the assertion follows from Case (**Disc**) above. $\square$

Remark 3.2. When the elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ has multiplicative reduction at 2, by considering the isomorphism $E(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \mathcal{K}^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$ for some unramified extension $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}_2$ locally, $-1 \in \mathcal{K}^\times$ gives a 2-torsion element in $E(\mathbb{Q}_2)$. Thus the condition (**Tor**) at 2 does not hold: $E(\mathbb{Q}_2)[2] \neq 0$.

Lem. 3.1 above says that, when E has multiplicative reduction at p , (**Tor**) does not hold only if

(**Disc'**) $p \mid \text{ord}_p(\Delta)$, and

(a') E has split multiplicative reduction,

(b') $p = 3, 5, 7$ or 11 .

For our main theorem (Thm. 1.1), we further impose the condition

(c') the rank $r > 1$.

The following calculations are given by using SAGE [3].

- For $p = 3$, there are 98864 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with multiplicative reduction at 3 with conductor $N < 380000$ satisfying **(Disc')**, (a') and (c'). Within them, 4630 curves does not satisfy **(Tor)** at $p = 3$.
- For $p > 3$, consider the elliptic curves which has multiplicative reduction at p with conductor $N < 380000$ satisfying **(Disc')**, (a') and (c'). Among them, we find only one elliptic curve E defined by

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 84479027x + 298070306349$$

(the Cremona label 302610t3) which has a local torsion prime $p = 5$, that is, $E(\mathbb{Q}_5)[5] \neq 0$. The conductor is $N = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 131$, the discriminant is $\Delta = 2^6 \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 1314$ and the rank is $r = 2$.

Good reduction

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that E has good reduction at p .*

(i) *We further assume one of the following conditions:*

- (a) $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] = 0$, or
- (b) $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \neq 0, p \geq 11$.

*Then, the condition **(Tor)** holds.*

(ii) *Assume that E has CM, and $p \geq 7$. Then, **(Tor)** holds if and only if $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] = 0$.*

The lemma above essentially follows from [2], Prop. 2.1. For the sake of completeness, we give a proof.

Proof of Lem. 3.3. (i) **Case (a):** For E has good reduction at p , the reduction map $\pi : E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ and $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p) = E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (as in the proof of Lem. 3.1). We have $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \simeq \widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)[p] = 0$ ([11], Chap. IV, Ex. 6.1.1 and Chap. VII, Prop. 2.2). The condition can be checked by using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] \xrightarrow{\delta} \widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p)/p\widehat{E}(p\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where δ is the connecting homomorphism. The assumption $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] = 0$ implies the condition **(Tor)**.

Case (b): For the sake of contradiction, we assume $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \neq 0$. From [2], Prop. 2.1 (1), we have $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. From the assumption $p \geq 11$, this contradicts with Mazur's theorem on $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ ([11], Chap. VIII, Thm. 7.5).

(ii) From (i) (the case (a)), it is enough to show that if $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] \neq 0$, then $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \neq 0$. From Hasse's theorem ([11], Chap. V, Thm. 1.1) and $p \geq 7$, $\#\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p$. We have $a_p(E) := p + 1 - \#\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = 1$. This implies $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \neq 0$ by [2], Prop. 2.1 (3). $\square$

When E has CM, Lem. 3.1 (ii) gives a criterion that a prime p satisfies **(Tor)** or not. On the other hand, Lem. 3.1 (i) says that when E has good reduction at $p \geq 11$, **(Tor)** does not hold only if

(a') $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] \neq 0$, and

(b') $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} = 0$.

For our purpose, we further impose

(c') E does not have CM, and

(d') the rank $r > 1$.

There are 1733 elliptic curves with conductor $N < 10^4$ satisfying (b')-(d') above. Among them, only 50 curves have a local torsion prime ≥ 11 , *i.e.*, $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)[p] \neq 0$ listed below:

Table 1: local torsion primes

	curve	p		curve	p		curve	p		curve	p
1	1639b1	2833	14	4976a1	11	27	7497c1	13	40	9082a1	13
2	1957a1	163	15	5171a1	23	28	7520e1	11	41	9149c1	23
3	2299b1	31	16	5736f1	11	29	7826d1	19	42	9395a1	37
4	2343c1	17	17	5763d1	23	30	8025d1	43	43	9467a1	19
5	2541c1	197	18	5982h1	197	31	8025d2	43	44	9510c1	103
6	2728d1	443	19	6334b1	11	32	8048f1	2593	45	9535a1	31
7	3220a1	41	20	6405c1	113	33	8384j1	157	46	9706b1	367
8	3333b1	19	21	6792a1	97	34	8495a1	43	47	9783b1	11
9	3997a1	167	22	6848p1	23	35	8551a1	293	48	9789f1	541
10	4024b1	47	23	6896e1	29	36	8768h1	17	49	9797b1	19
11	4279c1	13	24	7152a1	79	37	8950m1	271	50	9865b1	11
12	4504b1	19	25	7233a1	11	38	8974c1	1063			
13	4768a1	109	26	7366g1	11	39	8988d1	37			

References

- [1] P. L. Clark and A. Lacy, *There are genus one curves of every index over every infinite, finitely generated field*, arXiv:1405.2108 [math.NT]. 4

- [2] C. David and T. Weston, *Local torsion on elliptic curves and the deformation theory of Galois representations*, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 3, 599–611. 2, 7, 8
- [3] The Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.4)*, 2016, <http://www.sagemath.org>. 2, 7
- [4] N. D. Elkies, *Elliptic curves with 3-adic Galois representation surjective mod 3 but not mod 9*, arXiv:0612734 [math.NT]. 2
- [5] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory—past and present*, Class field theory—its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 30, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, pp. 335–385. 1
- [6] S. Lang, *Elliptic curves: Diophantine analysis*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978. 3
- [7] B. Mazur, *Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields*, Invent. Math. **18** (1972), 183–266. 4
- [8] F. Sairaiji and T. Yamauchi, *On the class numbers of the fields of the p^n -torsion points of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$* , arXiv:1603.01296v1 [math.NT]. 1, 2, 3, 4
- [9] ———, *On the class numbers of the fields of the p^n -torsion points of certain elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$* , J. Number Theory **156** (2015), 277–289. 1, 3, 5
- [10] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331. 2
- [11] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [12] ———, *Advanced topic in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013. 6

Toshiro Hiranouchi

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University 1-3-1
Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 JAPAN

Email address: hira@hiroshima-u.ac.jp